



# Generating CHARISMA: development of an intervention to help women build agency and safety in their relationships while using HIV prevention methods

M. Hartmann<sup>1</sup>, T. Palanee-Phillips<sup>2</sup>, M. Lanham<sup>3</sup>, F. Mathebula<sup>2</sup>, L. Pascoe<sup>4</sup>, N. Skosana<sup>4</sup>, B. Tolley<sup>3</sup>, S. Roberts<sup>1</sup>, D. Wagner<sup>1</sup>, E. Wilson<sup>1</sup>, R. Wilcher<sup>3</sup>, S. Zissette<sup>3</sup>, A. Ayub<sup>1</sup>, J. Baeten<sup>5</sup>, and E. Montgomery<sup>1</sup> on behalf of the CHARISMA team.

## INTRODUCTION



### WOMEN'S RISK OF HIV

- Inequitable gender norms and intimate partner violence (IPV) increase risk.<sup>1</sup>



### PRE-EXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS (PrEP) & MICROBICIDES

- Designed to give women a tool that could be used with and without male partner (MP) support.
- MPs remain important according to women.<sup>2</sup>
- Product use can impact relationships along a continuum from improving communication to increasing risk of social harms (SH), including IPV, resulting from perceived threats to male power.<sup>2</sup>



### INTERVENTION NEEDS



- Effectively identify, measure, and address the ways gender norms and relationship power differentials affect women's ability to safely and consistently use microbicides.
- Improve women's agency to use PrEP and microbicides consistently and safely.

## METHODS

The CHARISMA (Community Health clinic model for Agency in Relationships and Safer Microbicide Adherence) pilot was designed through:

- 1 identification of evidence-based clinic and community-based interventions,
- 2 secondary analysis of data from prior trials and literature on male partner influence and IPV,
- 3 primary data collection (309 surveys, 25 cognitive interviews, 42 in-depth interviews) with microbicide naïve women, former microbicide users who did and did not experience SH during use, and their MPs,<sup>3-4</sup> and
- 4 participatory workshops and stakeholder review.

And continues to be refined through:

- 5 ongoing monitoring of the pilot in the context of the HOPE vaginal ring open-label extension study in Johannesburg, South Africa.

## RESULTS

The pilot has community and clinic-based components, including: 1) awareness raising activities with men, 2) a social benefits and harms assessment tool (SBHT), and 3) targeted clinic-based counselling for women.

### AWARENESS RAISING ACTIVITIES WITH MEN

- Foundation of approach is One Man Can (OMC), a community mobilization campaign.<sup>5-6</sup>
- OMC mobilizer workshops, which identify and train community action team (CAT) members, were adapted to add content that:
  - ✓ introduced microbicides like the vaginal ring,
  - ✓ addressed myths and misconceptions around women's PrEP use, and
  - ✓ encouraged male support for women's PrEP use.



CAT members lead additional community outreach activities (e.g. door-to-door campaigns, tavern dialogues, soccer tournaments) to increase men's awareness and support.

## RESULTS

### SOCIAL-BENEFITS AND HARMS TOOL

The 42-item tablet-administered SBHT drew from 6 validated scales and measures relationship status across 5 factors (see Table 1). Administered at enrollment and 3 and 6 month follow-up visits, it guides counsellors in the provision of 1 of 3 counselling modules. It also assess change over time.

Table 1: SBHT characteristics

FACTORS	Items	EXAMPLE ITEMS
Traditional Values	13	I think a woman cannot refuse to have sex with her husband.
Partner Support	10	My partner is as committed as I am to our relationship.
Partner Abuse & Control	9	My partner slaps, hits, kicks, or pushes me.
Partner Resistance	5	If I asked my partner to use a condom, he would get angry.
HIV Prevention Readiness	5	Using an HIV prevention product is the right thing to do.

### CLINIC-BASED COUNSELLING FOR WOMEN

- Based on Safe + Sound<sup>6</sup> – a nurse-led IPV empowerment counselling intervention developed for urban South Africa.
- Adapted to include:
  - ✓ a five-day training for clinic providers,
  - ✓ Provision of introductory counselling at enrollment on healthy relationships, followed by 1 of 3 targeted modules: 1) relationship communication and conflict-resolution, 2) ring disclosure and negotiation, and 3) responding to IPV,
  - ✓ booster counselling at month one and follow-up at month three and six.
  - ✓ a supportive referral network, and
  - ✓ a staff support system to address vicarious trauma, burnout, and compassion fatigue.

## DISCUSSION

Initial results are promising:

- ✓ Women have utilized counselling to improve existing relationships or to leave violent ones.
- ✓ Men have questioned their roles in violence and HIV prevention, including support for microbicides.

Implementation has refined the intervention:

- ✓ Reducing CAT workshops to two-days to address participant fatigue and retention,
- ✓ Creating flexibility within the clinic counselling schedule to minimize participant burden,
- ✓ And utilizing technology (i.e. whatsapp) to more directly link community- and clinic-based activities.

The next stage of research will involve a multi-arm study designed to tease out questions of impact - on HIV prevention uptake and adherence and reduction of IPV - by intervention dose.

### AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

- <sup>1</sup>Women's Global Health Imperative, RTI International, San Francisco, CA, USA  
<sup>2</sup>Wits Reproductive Health and HIV Institute, Johannesburg, South Africa  
<sup>3</sup>FHI360, Durham, NC, USA  
<sup>4</sup>Sonke Gender Justice, Johannesburg, South Africa  
<sup>5</sup>University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

### REFERENCES

1. Garcia-Moreno, C., Pallitto, C., Devries, K. et al. (2013) *Global and Regional Estimates of Violence against Women: Prevalence and Health Effects of Intimate Partner Violence and Non-Partner Sexual Violence*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO.
2. Lanham, M., Wilcher, R., Montgomery, E. T., Pool, R., Schuler, S., Lenzi, R., and Friedland, B. (2014). *Engaging male partners in women's microbicide use: evidence from clinical trials and implications for future research and microbicide introduction*. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 17(3 Suppl 2), 19159. <http://doi.org/10.7448/IAS.17.3.19159>
3. Tolley, E.E., Zissette, S., Palanee-Phillips, T., et al. (2017) *Addressing issues impacting safe and consistent use of an HIV prevention intervention: development of a social benefits-harms (SBH) tool*. Poster presented at: 9th IAS Conference on HIV Science, Paris, France, 23-26 July.
4. Hartmann, M., Palanee-Phillips, T., Hatcher, A., et al. (2016) *Relationships dynamics, agency, and trust in the context of microbicide use: Formative research outcomes from the CHARISMA study in Johannesburg, South Africa*. Poster presented at HIV R4P, Chicago, United States, Oct.
5. Lippman, S.A., Maman, S., MacPhail, C., et al. (2013) *Conceptualizing community mobilization for HIV prevention: implications for HIV prevention programming in the African context*. *PLoS One* 8.10:78208.
6. Pettifor, A., Lippman, S. A., Selin, A. M., et al. (2015) *A cluster randomized-controlled trial of a community mobilization intervention to change gender norms and reduce HIV risk in rural South Africa: study design and intervention*, *BMC Public Health* 15.1:752.
7. Pallitto, C., Garcia-Moreno, C., Stoeckl, H., et al. (2016) *Testing a counselling intervention in antenatal care for women experiencing partner violence: a study protocol for a randomized controlled trial in Johannesburg, South Africa*. *BMC health services research* 16.1: 630.

